



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/756,925	01/09/2001	Christian Quellet	12846/121488	6779

7590

09/10/2002

Mark E. Waddell, Esq.  
Bryan Cave LLP  
245 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10167-0034

EXAMINER

YU, GINA C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1617

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Applicati n No.

09/756,925

Applicant(s)

QUELLET ET AL.

Examin r

Gina C. Yu

Art Unit

1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gina C. Yu. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew Parformak. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 September 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: All recited in Office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' attorney indicated that RCE including product-by-process claims will be filed. Examiner responded that the limitation to the product itself only would be considered in the case of product-by process claims (See MPEP 2113). Examiner also indicated that in case the new claims may be subject to the restriction requirement if both composition and method claims are presented, as it may create serious burden in searching. Applicants' attorney further emphasized that the present invention is distinct from the recited prior arts in that the hydrophobic active particles in the instant invention have finer size and uniformly distributed in a matrix.